EDWARD H. KUBO, JR. #2499 United States Attorney District of Hawaii

FLORENCE T. NAKAKUNI #2286 Chief, Narcotics Section

MARK A. INCIONG #163443
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Room 6100, PJKK Federal Building
300 Ala Moana Boulevard
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850
Telephone: (808) 541-2850
Facsimile: (808) 541-2958
E-mail: mark.inciong@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CR. NO. 05-00106 HG
Plaintiff, vs.	) STIPULATION AND ORDER ) CONTINUING TRIAL AND TO ) EXCLUDE TIME
HOLLY KOLIOPOULOS, (2) ARTHUR KIYOSHI HIDANO, (3)	) ) OLD TRIAL DATE: 01/18/06 ) NEW TRIAL DATE: 05/16/06
Defendants.	)

## STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL AND TO EXCLUDE TIME

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties herein that the jury trial presently scheduled for January 18, 2006, be continued to May 16, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Helen Gillmor. Final Pretrial Conferences are set for April 17, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. before Magistrate Judge Barry M. Kurren and May 8, 2006 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Helen Gillmor. Defendants' motions are due April 4, 2006, and the Government's response are due April 18, 2006.

The reason for the continuance is a First Superseding
Indictment was returned in this matter on December 15, 2005 which
added new substantive charges as well as a new Defendant (Arthur
Kiyoshi Hidano). Consequently, counsel for the Defendants
require additional time to review discovery in this matter as
well as to pursue a negotiated disposition of the charges.

Thus, counsel for all parties have requested the continuance so that defense counsel may have adequate time to review discovery, confer with their clients and exercise due diligence so that they can effectively represent the Defendants. The Government has no objection to a continuance of the trial date.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties and the Court finds that the period beginning January 18, 2006, to and including May 16, 2006, be excluded from the computations required by the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendants in a speedy trial because the continuance allows counsel for Defendants the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due

\\

//

\\

//

\\

\\

\\

//

diligence in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, January 18, 2006.

EDWARD H. KUBO, JR. United States Attorney District of Hawaii

By /s/ Mark A. Inciong
MARK A. INCIONG
Assistant U.S. Attorney

/s/ Pamela E. Tamashiro
PAMELA E. TAMASHIRO, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
ARTHUR KIYOSHI HIDANO

/s/ Harlan Kimura
HARLAN KIMURA, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
HOLLY KOLIOPOULOS

IT IS SO APPROVED AND ORDERED:

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, February 2, 2006.

STATES DISTRICT COLA

Helen Gillmor Chief United States District Judge

<u>United States v. Koliopoulos & Hidano;</u> Cr. No. 05-00106 HG "Stipulation and Order Continuing Trial and to Exclude Time"